

Q1. In table 1 of attachment A appears to not make any sense. For example a current Limited operator AOLCP is of the same qualification level as an advanced AOCOP operator. There is no difference in qualification, examination etc. Now that the requirement for morse code is no longer valid, yet the table specifies that it is not a full exemption to obtain a CoP (Certificate of Proficiency). This needs to be fixed.

Q2. The development of an online examination system to be an absolute top echelon priority. A paper based system to use if required needs to be retained also.

Q3. Under the 'Qualifications and requirements for applicants seeking accreditation' heading, assessor's need to hold an advanced recognition certificate or a AOCOP. Recently after the morse code requirement was removed as a requirement for an AOCOP it was deemed that AOLCP was identical to the AOCOP and therefore AOLCP operators were granted the same privileges and conditions as AOCOP operators. Hence these new 'advanced' operators, although 'advanced' and recognised through all intent and purposes do not hold an AOCOP to be able to apply to be an assessor, they still retain their AOLCP, so although of the same qualification will the new framework include a grandfathering of AOLCP recipients with an automatic issuing of an advanced recognition certificate to tidy up the current mess.

Q7. A. With the introduction of the class license what will be put into place to prevent the amateur service from degrading such as the CBRS degraded after going to a class license. With the general thought that the service becomes self regulated which in hindsight has not worked with CBRS and would threaten to do the same with the amateur class license. The CBRS has never looked worse with the lack of 'policing' that class licencing brought.

B. Who ever came up with changing the status from a certificate of proficiency to a recognition certificate? One would think that we have moved on from primary school. The retention of the current proficiency certificates would be much better than the proposed replacement recognition certificates.

C. As a current AOLCP holder I am granted AOCOP rights. However, even though I hold the same qualification, have sat the same exams, passed the same tests, I have been told from the AMC that for me to be granted an AOCOP (the exact same qualification) I would need to suit a new exam etc. This is preposterous and should be made part of a grandfathering clause as part of the new system to tidy up existing gaps left over from the last couple of years.