



May 6, 2022

The Manager
Spectrum Licensing Policy Section
Australian Communications and Media Authority
PO Box 13112
Law Courts
Melbourne Vic 8010

Via email: spectrumworkprogram@acma.gov.au

Re: *Response to Five Year Spectrum Outlook 2022-27 and 2022-23 Work Program*

To whom it may concern:

Starlink Australia Pty Ltd (“SpaceX”) appreciates the opportunity to respond to the Australian Communications and Media Authority’s (“ACMA”) five-year spectrum outlook 2022-27 and 2022-23 work program (the “Consultation”).¹ Below is a general overview of SpaceX and its Starlink product, along with specific responses to the Consultation.

I. Background

SpaceX is a private company founded in 2002 to revolutionize space technologies, with the ultimate goal of enabling humanity to become a multi-planetary species. SpaceX has achieved a series of historic milestones and is proud to have become the first private company in history to send astronauts to orbit and safely return them to Earth. To date, SpaceX has successfully launched more than 150 missions to space.

SpaceX is leveraging its accumulated expertise in space system manufacturing, design, and operations, to develop Starlink, which is served by a constellation of satellites designed to provide high-speed, low-latency, competitively priced broadband service to locations in Australia and anywhere around the globe. SpaceX’s first-generation constellation consists of over 4,400 non-geostationary orbit (“NGSO”) fixed-satellite service (“FSS”) satellites and extensive ground infrastructure employing advanced communications and space operations technology. SpaceX has invested billions of dollars in this system and is currently launching 120 satellites per month on average, along with building gateway and end-user terminal antennas. Starlink is designed to make efficient use of radio spectrum resources by optimizing its ability to flexibly share spectrum with other licensed satellite and terrestrial users, including through advanced beam-forming and digital processing technologies. SpaceX currently links satellites to the customer user terminals in the Ku-band for both uplink and downlink frequencies, with gateway links in the Ka-band.

¹ See ACMA, “Five-year spectrum outlook 2022-27 and 2022-23 work program,” Draft for Consultation (March 2022) (“FYSO”).

The events of the past two years have reminded us all of the importance of being able to connect people and businesses through high-speed Internet service, whether to complete school lessons, connect with distant family and friends, conduct business, or even to run a government. Powerful next-generation satellite systems supported by robust backhaul connectivity will enable all consumers across Australia to use the bandwidth-intensive, real-time applications that have become essential to accessing remote work, school, and public services. To meet these evolving consumer needs, whether in the suburbs of Sydney or the most remote parts of Western Australia, SpaceX is currently building and deploying its next iteration of its Starlink commercial satellite service. This next-generation technology includes upgraded end-user terminals, new satellite technology, and improved gateway ground stations that will provide customers with even higher speeds. For example, ground stations in this next generation of deployment will be able to use 71-76 GHz downlink and 81-86 GHz uplink frequencies (the “E-band” or “70/80 GHz band”) for gateway communications and will support higher capacity and faster speeds for Starlink customers.

SpaceX began its beta Starlink service in Australia in April 2021. Today, Starlink is capable of serving large parts of the country. Starlink customers in Australia typically experience speeds exceeding 100 Mbps, with reliability nearing 100 percent. SpaceX is excited to serve even more Australians, with a particular desire to reach those who are currently unserved or underserved by broadband.

II. Response to the Five-Year Spectrum Outlook

SpaceX appreciates the ACMA’s focus in the FYSO 2022-27 on ensuring that next-generation satellite systems have the spectrum resources necessary to meet growing consumer demand for high-speed, low-latency broadband to connect to real-time applications for work, school, health care, and government services. As the ACMA finalizes this FYSO, SpaceX urges it to (1) protect essential co-primary spectrum for the fixed-satellite service; (2) prioritize opening high-priority high-frequency spectrum—including the E-band—that is necessary to keep pace with growing consumer demand; (3) combat misinformation about larger NGSO satellite constellations; and (4) maintain the ACMA’s successful light-touch approach to satellite regulation that rightly encourages private operator-to-operator coordination and cooperation to drive efficient outcomes without massive administrative overhead that would harm consumers, competition, and innovation.

A. Protect co-primary satellite spectrum

As an initial matter, SpaceX urges the ACMA to protect essential co-primary spectrum for the fixed-satellite service so that consumers in all parts of Australia can receive competitive, high-speed, low-latency broadband.

The draft FYSO rightly notes that “[t]he ubiquity of satellite coverage means that satellite services both compete directly for ubiquitous coverage as well as readily plug the gaps in

connectivity presented by terrestrial data networks.”² Indeed, even in areas that are nominally covered by terrestrial service, next-generation satellite broadband can provide a competitive alternative comparable or superior to existing terrestrial, fixed networks for both speed and latency. As terrestrial networks seek higher-frequency bands for mobile communications, including in more densely populated areas, favoring mobile use to the detriment of satellite broadband could risk harming consumers by reducing necessary capacity in critical satellite user-link bands and by limiting the ability of satellite networks to deploy gateway sites that serve rural and remote end users.

For these reasons, as the ACMA considers new allocations for terrestrial services, it should protect current and future customers of next-generation satellite services by preserving full access to critical co-primary satellite spectrum (e.g., Ku-, Ka-, Q/V-, and E-band) and adopting appropriate technical and operational rules to ensure satellite operators have sufficient spectrum resources to meet growing demand for high-speed, low-latency broadband.

B. Expedite authorization of higher-frequency bands for satellites

Consumer demand for next-generation satellite services is quickly outstripping the supply of spectrum allocated for backhaul. SpaceX therefore recommends that the ACMA expedite the authorization of higher-frequency bands that have already been allocated to the fixed-satellite service and evidence a strong near-term interest from next-generation satellite providers in using the bands to serve consumers. By quickly authorizing access to these critical spectrum bands, the ACMA can ensure operators can stay ahead of consumer needs and Australians never experience unnecessarily diminished service.

As the ACMA correctly explained, an “increased appetite for data and applications from consumers and households in regional and remote areas, as well as businesses and enterprises transitioning into a digital economy” is driving innovation in the satellite market.³ The ACMA has done impressive and necessary work over the last several years to make available Ku-band and Ka-band spectrum to facilitate the deployment of non-geostationary satellite networks in Australia, and to reduce licensing fees that lower barriers to deployment and costs for consumers. As shared spectrum bands with limited capacity, however, these bands will face an imminent crunch as more consumers use increasingly bandwidth intensive applications and competitors seek to deploy in the market.

To avoid a spectrum crunch and keep pace with rapidly changing consumer needs, the ACMA can make additional spectrum available for NGSO satellite systems expeditiously, including Q/V- and E-band spectrum. Many NGSO providers have filed at the ITU and at the national level (e.g., in the United States) for these bands, and the technology is ready for imminent deployment to serve consumers. To make these bands available immediately, ACMA can adopt a “default” rule that permits operators to license allocated spectrum bands that do not yet have

² *Id.* at 11.

³ *Id.*

service-specific rules on a case-by-case basis (as the United States does⁴), and in parallel adopt durable, light-touch service rules—such as light-licensing—for earth stations that leverage existing rules and self-coordination to drive rapid deployment and consumer benefit.

C. Combat misinformation about larger NGSO networks

SpaceX has designed its constellation with sharing and efficiency at the forefront, and believes it is incumbent upon all operators to do the same to maximize competition, innovation, and consumer benefit in the market for next-generation satellite services. In the FYSO 2022-27, the ACMA lists several “commonly expressed concerns” that parties have raised about larger NGSO systems in separate proceedings. Unfortunately, these supposed concerns may have the unintended consequence of discouraging efficiency and sharing by promoting false narratives pushed by those that seek to limit competition and avoid investing in efficient technologies that would best serve Australian consumers. The ACMA should approach these and similar claims with great caution to avoid amplifying misinformation that could serve as an unwarranted basis for unnecessary interventionist policies.

First, well-designed NGSO systems are already capable of a range of technical solutions that reduce or eliminate the need for physical separation between gateway sites. Operators coordinating in good faith are able to use these technical solutions to reach efficient outcomes that allow them to coexist while best serving their customers. As such, massive keep-out zones of “hundreds of kilometres”⁵ are unnecessary to enable operators to site gateways in proximity to one another. Not only are they unnecessary, these crude keep-out zones would constrain all systems—favoring early entrants over newer systems by blocking out promising gateway locations and harming consumers by limiting competition and network capacity. Moreover, massive keep-out zones would create perverse incentives for others to employ spectrally inefficient networks and stonewall coordination in hopes of being granted massive keep-out zones themselves. Therefore, the ACMA should remove the bullet point discussing keep-out zones from the draft FYSO and further clarify in the preceding bullet point that “supporting *spectrally inefficient systems* (such as NGSOs requiring hundred-plus kilometre keep-out zones between gateways) will hinder the development and deployment of future systems (potentially restricting future competition).”

Second, next-generation satellite systems have invested heavily in highly sophisticated technology to maximize the use of orbital resources. Operators that adopt basic, widely available spectrum sharing technologies, meet international rules, and privately coordinate in good faith are able to coexist within similar orbits and spectrum bands without foreclosing GSO and smaller

⁴ See 47 C.F.R. § 25.217 (setting default service rules that permit the FCC to issue “licenses to operate a satellite system [including earth stations] in a frequency band granted after a domestic frequency allocation has been adopted for that frequency band, but before any frequency-band-specific service rules have been adopted for that frequency band”). This default framework is an important way that the FCC encourages innovation beyond experimental licences so that multi-year regulatory processes do not serve as a gate on fast-moving innovation that could benefit consumers across Australia.

⁵ See FYSO at 16.

NGSO networks operations. To the extent scarce spectrum is an issue, the appropriate remedy is well-designed policies that incentivize operators to invest in spectrum sharing technologies and coordinate in good faith; make available additional spectrum to support greater sharing and bandwidth; and ensure that new users—e.g., terrestrial mobile—do not increase interference to or otherwise impair next-generation satellite networks. SpaceX recommends that the ACMA remove the bullet point containing the unfounded concern that “congested” orbits will “constrain the capacity/throughput” of GSOs and small NGSOs.

Australian customers have been well-served under the ACMA’s successful approach to promoting competition among satellite operators. However, misinformation about next-generation satellite systems could lead to unnecessary interventions in the market that will harm consumers by limiting competition, innovation, and connectivity in Australia. As explained in the following section, the ACMA should maintain its successful regulatory approach for satellite networks, while finding additional ways to streamline regulations consistent with the Deregulatory Agenda.

D. Maintain the ACMA’s successful light-touch regulatory approach

The ACMA’s long-standing policy of “encourag[ing] cooperation and coordination between satellite networks to achieve mutual benefit, without the burden and delays of additional prescriptive regulation,”⁶ has been a boon for Australians. The ACMA’s approach has been the gold standard for maximizing use of spectrum, as operators themselves are in the best position to understand how their systems can cooperate with each other to provide the best services and the most choices for Australian consumers.

Maintaining the ACMA’s current approach would be consistent with most other regulators that have considered how best to encourage cooperation among operators. SpaceX is currently operating in 30 countries and has engaged deeply with the governments in each. While some operators have attempted to have regulators interfere in coordination discussions, nearly every time the regulator has decided that these issues are best handled by the operators directly without regulatory intervention. For example, when the Chilean government was asked to intercede in a negotiation over placement of gateways between two operators, the regulator evaluated the request and opted to issue only a brief statement summarily dismissing the request. The regulator correctly determined that it should not relieve the parties of the burden to coordinate in good faith.

SpaceX is not aware of a case that merits changes to the existing successful licensing framework. The ACMA is correct that the interventions discussed in the FYSO 2022-27 “would be burdensome and introduce delays in considering licence applications,”⁷ but even worse, a departure from the ACMA’s successful light-touch approach would harm consumers by raising barriers to entry for innovative companies and delaying service deployment, particularly in rural and remote areas that lack terrestrial service. Moreover, designing and managing complex regulatory regimes such as public consultations, auctions, and beauty contests would require massive additional administrative overhead for speculative or no benefit compared to the existing,

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ *Id.* at 17.

successful model. Finally, adopting an interventionist approach would directly contravene the Deregulation Agenda as it applies to satellite regulation and spectrum management, reversing the many strides that the ACMA has taken over the last several years to foster a robust, competitive, and consumer-focused satellite market.

Rather than adopt interventionist policies that require the ACMA to intermediate private coordination discussions, SpaceX recommends light-touch policies that create incentives for timely, good faith coordination without delaying serving provision to consumers. For example, the ACMA should permit next-generation satellite systems to operate in Australia on a non-interference, non-protection basis before coordination with other operators has been completed, so long as the operators are coordinating in good faith. In addition, the ACMA should adopt “default” spectrum-splitting rules to encourage efficient resolution of coordination discussions. For example, the United States also has adopted a default spectrum-sharing rule under which, if two operators have failed to reach a coordination agreement by the time they are operational, the operators will split the available spectrum. This “Solomonic” spectrum-splitting approach presents certain advantages. First, because no operator desires to operate with access to less than a full allotment of spectrum, all operators will have the incentive to reach a coordination agreement quickly that is better suited to its particular system. Second, this straightforward resolution limits the degree to which the ACMA would need to involve itself in operator-to-operator negotiations. Of course, the ACMA also has the opportunity to tailor its own approach to maximize preferred public policy outcomes. For example, the ACMA can give first choice of spectrum to the operator with the more efficient system, creating an incentive to invest in spectral efficiency. Alternatively, the ACMA could require both operators to split any encumbered spectrum evenly once operational, making all spectrum truly fungible. If the ACMA does alter its current, successful light-touch approach, this spectrum-splitting model would create the proper incentives for operators to build more efficient systems, to coordinate in good faith, and ultimately to offer competitive services for Australian consumers.

III. Response to the 2022-23 Work Program

SpaceX appreciates the ACMA’s ambitious work program for the 2022-23 period. To ensure that the work program sufficiently addresses the most pressing needs of satellite operators to meet growing consumer demand now and in the future, SpaceX recommends that the ACMA elevate the following two issues into its 2022-23 work program: (1) adopting self-coordinated light-licensing for E-band satellite gateway earth stations and (2) unlocking spectrum above 100 GHz for satellites.

A. Adopt light-licensing for FSS gateways in the E-band (71-76 GHz / 81-86 GHz)

To best serve the needs of consumers and businesses across Australia, the ACMA should add a 2022-23 work program item to authorize the E-band for the fixed-satellite service—proceeding expeditiously toward the implementation stage so that consumers can benefit from this essential spectrum as soon as possible. Specifically, the ACMA should extend its database-assisted light-licensing framework for the E-band to enable both fixed links and fixed-satellite service gateways to register ground equipment on a first-come, first-served basis through a self-

coordinated process. This process could build upon existing rules, regulations, and licensing mechanisms for apparatus licensing above 51.4 GHz, while streamlining the review process through self-coordination using the same process that is currently available to fixed links in the band.

A unified light-licensing approach in the E-band to drive next-generation satellite connectivity for Australian consumers and businesses is warranted for several reasons. First, a unified light-licensing approach would respect the co-primary allocation of fixed-satellite service in the E-band. The ITU Radio Regulations and the ACMA have already allocated the E-band on a co-primary basis to the fixed-satellite service, with specific protections for the fixed-satellite service in the 74-76 GHz band viz-a-viz terrestrial services. To respect this co-primary allocation and ensure that future deployments of fixed-satellite services in the band stand on equal footing with terrestrial deployments, it is important that the ACMA develop a common approach that allows both services to deploy with equal ease. Since fixed links can currently avail themselves of a rapid self-coordinated licensing process, the same process should be available to fixed-satellite service gateways.

Second, regulatory bodies around the world, including the ACMA, have recognized that the unique properties of E-band links permit coexistence with minimal physical and angular separation, justifying a self-coordinated approach to licensing.⁸ Like fixed links, fixed-satellite service gateway antennas will use high-gain, directional “pencil” beams that coexist in close proximity to other users. While gateway antennas require higher main-beam power levels than fixed links to close links with faraway satellites, they also typically use high minimum elevation angles (e.g., 25 degrees), nearly eliminating the risk of inline events with terrestrial links. Moreover, using techniques such as shielding and low sidelobes toward the horizon, satellite operators can dramatically reduce EIRP toward the horizon—the operative metric for measuring gateway interference risk to terrestrial links. In this way, fixed-satellite service gateways will present an interference risk comparable to the fixed links under RALI FX20, supporting a common approach to E-band licensing.

Third, unified light-licensing is the most administratively efficient means of expanding access to the E-band and driving rapid deployment to benefit consumers, including in rural and remote areas. The ACMA has already allocated the E-band to the fixed satellite service and has

⁸ See ITU Recommendation ITU-R F.2006, 1 (rev. Mar. 15, 2012) (noting that in the E-band “high directivity antennas are achievable even with small size antennas, increasing the density of equipment and further reducing risk of interference with same and other services.”); ECC Rec. (05)07, 1 (rev. 2013) (finding that due to the “inherent reduced interference occurrence probability” in the E-band, “[m]ultiple services and applications can be implemented, with simplified coordination mechanisms, ensuring highly efficient re-use of the frequency band.”); *Allocations and Service Rules for 71–76 GHz, 81–86 GHz and 92–95 GHz Bands*, 18 FCC Rcd 23318, ¶ 45 (2003) (noting that links in the band “may be engineered to operate in close proximity to other systems so that many operations can co-exist in the same vicinity without causing interference to one another”); “Millimetre Wave Point to Point (Self-Coordinated) Stations,” RALI FX20, § 2.1 (May 19, 2016).

adopted technical, licensing, and fee frameworks that apply to apparatus above 51.4 GHz. A multi-service, self-coordinated light-licensing approach in the E-band would further speed review and approval time, reducing administrative cost and labor associated with manual reviews for all but the most complex interference scenarios. Moreover, a self-coordinated approach would facilitate coordination between different co-primary services in a manner that permits efficient deployment of both services to the benefit of people and businesses alike. This model could dramatically improve the satellite earth station licensing process in Australia while providing better connectivity for Australian consumers.

Together, these factors more than justify advancing authorization discussions and swiftly moving toward implementation of rules to permit NGSO FSS networks to license gateway earth stations in the E-band through a self-coordinated light-licensing framework.

B. Unlock spectrum above 100 GHz

As it monitors trends in spectrum needs of space-based communication systems and technological developments as a part of its satellite planning, the ACMA should consider next-generation satellite services in any investigation of spectrum above 100 GHz. Spectrum above 100 GHz will play an important role in supporting high-speed, low-latency satellite networks in the future, helping consumers meet the growing demand for real-time applications and services. Timely access to this spectrum will be particularly important to support next-generation non-geostationary orbit satellite networks, which can reach consumers and businesses across the Australia, including in rural and remote areas that lack terrestrial networks.

Today, the fixed-satellite service is allocated at the ITU on a co-primary basis in a number of promising spectrum bands above 100 GHz, including several bands in the Space-to-Earth direction (e.g., 123-130 GHz, 158.5-164 GHz, 167-174.5 GHz, and 232-240 GHz) and others in the Earth-to-Space direction (e.g., 209-226 GHz and 265-275 GHz). In terms of prioritization of these frequencies for assignment, frequencies closer to 100 GHz—including the 120-170 GHz and 210-310 GHz bands—are more useful to serve consumers of fixed-satellite services in the near term than even higher frequency bands. This is because lower frequencies generally experience lower levels of atmospheric attenuation. This relatively lower attenuation is essential to enable satellite ground infrastructure to close long links with satellites. In contrast, bands in the 310 GHz – 20 THz range have extremely high atmospheric attenuation, causing the atmosphere to be nearly opaque to electromagnetic waves and therefore difficult to use with current technology, except over short distances. As the ACMA assesses how to maximize the value of these bands for consumers, it should consider the impact of atmospheric attenuation on various use cases, avoid misallocation of scarce spectrum resources, and seek opportunities to optimize 100-310 GHz spectrum (e.g., making available additional uplink spectrum), noting that these frequencies may not be as fungible as those in other lower-frequency bands.

With respect to licensing, SpaceX agrees with Ofcom’s recent discussion paper that regulators should adopt “spectrum sharing by default”⁹ for spectrum above 100 GHz through a light-touch model to promote rapid deployment of robust backhaul connectivity to benefit consumers. However, spectrum sharing is not monolithic, and it will be important to choose the right spectrum sharing model for these bands. As with the E-band, a multi-service light-licensing approach in higher frequency bands would have several benefits: it speeds review and approval time by automating basic compliance and coexistence checks; reduces administrative cost and labor associated with manual reviews for all but the most complex interference scenarios; facilitates coordination between different co-primary services; and promotes rapid deployment of ground equipment for high-speed, low-latency wireless networks, benefitting people and businesses alike.

Finally, SpaceX appreciates the importance of high-frequency spectrum for earth observation and other space sciences. Fortunately, next-generation satellite operators have deep experience successfully coordinating with other co-primary users and are readily able to coexist with space services. SpaceX encourages the ACMA to assess how the large swaths of spectrum allocated to those services might be shared efficiently with next-generation satellite broadband networks.

IV. Conclusion

SpaceX is very grateful for the ACMA’s consideration and collaboration and looks forward to continuing to serve Australian customers with even faster speeds as we continue to launch more satellites and deploy more ground infrastructure around the world.

Respectfully submitted,

Jameson Dempsey
Principal, Satellite Policy

SPACE EXPLORATION TECHNOLOGIES CORP.
1 Rocket Road
Hawthorne, CA 90250
Email: Jameson.Dempsey@spacex.com
Phone: +1 (310) 682-9836

⁹ See Ofcom, “Unlocking the potential of Terahertz radio spectrum,” Discussion Document, 3 (2 Dec. 2021).