

# Telcos and law enforcement

## Monitoring industry performance

DECEMBER 2021

**Canberra**

Red Building  
Benjamin Offices  
Chan Street  
Belconnen ACT

PO Box 78  
Belconnen ACT 2616

T +61 2 6219 5555  
F +61 2 6219 5353

**Melbourne**

Level 32  
Melbourne Central Tower  
360 Elizabeth Street  
Melbourne VIC

PO Box 13112  
Law Courts  
Melbourne VIC 8010

T +61 3 9963 6800  
F +61 3 9963 6899

**Sydney**

Level 5  
The Bay Centre  
65 Pirrama Road  
Pyrmont NSW

PO Box Q500  
Queen Victoria Building  
NSW 1230

T +61 2 9334 7700  
F +61 2 9334 7799

**Copyright notice**

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

With the exception of coats of arms, logos, emblems, images, other third-party material or devices protected by a trademark, this content is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) licence.

We request attribution as © Commonwealth of Australia (Australian Communications and Media Authority) 2021.

All other rights are reserved.

The Australian Communications and Media Authority has undertaken reasonable enquiries to identify material owned by third parties and secure permission for its reproduction. Permission may need to be obtained from third parties to re-use their material.

Written enquiries may be sent to:

Manager, Editorial Services  
PO Box 13112  
Law Courts  
Melbourne VIC 8010  
Email: [info@acma.gov.au](mailto:info@acma.gov.au)

# Contents

<b>Overview</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Support for agencies</b>	<b>2</b>
Assisting agencies	2
Disclosing telecommunications data	2
Cost of providing assistance	3
Emergency suspension of carriage services	3
Interception capability costs	4
<b>Data retention regime</b>	<b>5</b>
Cost of complying with data retention regime obligations	6
<b>ACMA activities</b>	<b>7</b>
Disrupting online services	7
Combating phone scams	7



# Overview

Each year, the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) must prepare a report under subsection 105(5A) of the *Telecommunications Act 1997*. It looks at actions taken in the telecommunications industry to assist law enforcement and national security agencies (agencies) and prevent telecommunications networks and facilities from being used to commit offences. The report must include information about:

- > the operation of Part 14 (national interest matters) of the Telecommunications Act and the associated compliance costs<sup>1</sup>
- > the costs of complying with Part 5-1A (data retention) of the *Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Act 1979* (TIA Act).

This 2020–21 report includes information about how carriers, carriage service providers and carriage service intermediaries (telcos) support and assist agencies by:

- > providing assistance
- > disclosing telecommunications data
- > suspending carriage services in an emergency
- > developing, installing and maintaining interception capabilities
- > complying with the data retention regime.

It also looks at the costs for telcos of complying with Part 5-3 (interception capability) of the TIA Act.

Consistent with the ACMA's obligation to do its best to prevent telecommunications networks and facilities being used in the commission of offences<sup>2</sup>, this 2020–21 report also includes information about the following ACMA activities:

- > disruption of certain online services with the assistance of telcos
- > combating phone scams (including mobile number fraud).

---

<sup>1</sup> Under subsection 105(5B) of the Telecommunications Act, the ACMA is not required to monitor or report on the operation of the sections of Part 14 amended by the *Telecommunications and Other Legislation Amendment Act 2017*. This means the ACMA is not required to report on the matters set out in section 315J of the Telecommunications Act, which relate to the telecommunications sector security reforms.

<sup>2</sup> This requirement is set out in subsection 312(1) of the Telecommunications Act.

# Support for agencies

Part 14 of the Telecommunications Act requires telcos to do their best to prevent telecommunications networks and facilities from being used to commit offences. Telcos must also help agencies where reasonably necessary for specific purposes. Telcos may also suspend the supply of a service in an emergency if requested to do so by a senior police officer under Part 14 of the Telecommunications Act.

The Department of Home Affairs reports annually on the telecommunications sector security reforms and the operation of the TIA Act.<sup>3</sup>

## Assisting agencies

Telcos must assist agencies under subsections 313(3) and (4) of the Telecommunications Act. This usually involves providing information about consumers and their communications to:

- > enforce criminal law
- > enforce laws that impose a pecuniary penalty
- > assist the enforcement of the criminal laws in force in a foreign country
- > assist the investigation and prosecution of:
  - > crimes within the jurisdiction of the ICC (within the meaning of the *International Criminal Court Act 2002*)
  - > tribunal offences (within the meaning of the *International War Crimes Tribunals Act 1995*)
- > protect public revenue
- > safeguard national security.

The ACMA can investigate and take enforcement action if telcos fail to comply with obligations under Part 14 of the Telecommunications Act. We usually become aware of compliance issues through complaints or referrals, but we can also initiate our own enquiries and investigations.

We did not receive any complaints about telco compliance with subsections 313(3) or (4) of the Telecommunications Act from agencies, or conduct any investigations about telco compliance with Part 14 of the Telecommunications Act in 2020–21.

## Disclosing telecommunications data

Telcos assist agencies under subsection 313(3) (in association with paragraphs 313(7)(d) and (e)) of the Telecommunications Act by giving effect to agency authorisations under the TIA Act and disclosing telecommunications data under section 280 of the Telecommunications Act.<sup>4</sup>

Telecommunications data is often the first source of information for agency investigations.<sup>5</sup> It can help agencies to eliminate potential suspects and support applications for more intrusive investigative tools, including interception warrants.

---

<sup>3</sup> These requirements are set out in section 315J of the Telecommunications Act.

<sup>4</sup> Section 280 of the Telecommunications Act deals with authorisations by or under law.

<sup>5</sup> Telecommunications data is information about a communication, such as the phone numbers of people who called one another, the duration of the call, the email address from which a message was sent and the time the message was sent – but not the content of the communication.

In 2020–21, telcos reported 771,851 disclosures of telecommunications data under section 280 of the Telecommunications Act and the TIA Act (see Table 1).

**Table 1: Disclosures of telecommunications data, 2020–21**

Reason for disclosure	(Sub)section	Number of disclosures, 2020–21
<b>Under the Telecommunications Act</b>		
Authorised by or under law	280	4,360*
<b>Under the TIA Act</b>		
Voluntary disclosure	177	39
Authorisations for access to existing information or documents – enforcement of the criminal law	178	537,773
Authorisations for access to existing information or documents – locating missing persons	178A	2,219
Authorisations for access to existing information or documents – enforcement of a law imposing pecuniary penalty or protection of the public revenue	179	631
Authorisations for access to prospective information or documents	180	226,721
Enforcement of the criminal law of a foreign country (existing information)	180A	106
Enforcement of the criminal law of a foreign country (prospective information)	180B	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>771,851**</b>

\* The total number of disclosures under section 280 of the Telecommunications Act includes disclosures made to agencies and other entities.

\*\*The total number of disclosures of personal information by telcos in 2020–21 is also published in the ACMA's annual report.

Source: Telco industry data request.

## Cost of providing assistance

If a telco is required to give help to an agency under subsections 313(3) or (4) of the Telecommunications Act, it must do so on the basis that it does not profit from, or bear the cost of, that help.<sup>6</sup> Telcos provide such assistance on the terms and conditions agreed with the relevant Commonwealth, state or territory authority.

## Emergency suspension of carriage services

Under section 315 of the Telecommunications Act, a senior officer of a police force or service<sup>7</sup> can request the suspension of a carriage service if they have reasonable grounds to believe there is an imminent threat to someone's life or health.

Telcos reported the suspension of 32 carriage services in 2020–21, in contrast to 17 suspensions reported in 2019–20.

<sup>6</sup> Section 314 of the Telecommunications Act.

<sup>7</sup> That is, a commissioned officer of the force or service who holds a rank not lower than the rank of Assistant Commissioner.

## Interception capability costs

The content of telecommunications is protected in Australia.


Subject to certain exemptions, it is a criminal offence under the TIA Act to intercept communications passing over a telecommunications system or to access stored communications without the knowledge of those involved in that communication. Communications can only be intercepted by agencies that have been issued a warrant under the TIA Act.

Chapter 5 of the TIA Act requires telcos to develop, install and maintain an interception capability, so that their networks, facilities and carriage services can be intercepted if presented with an interception warrant. Under paragraph 313(7)(a) of the Telecommunications Act, the provision of interception services, including services in executing an interception warrant under the TIA Act, is a form of assistance for the purposes of section 313.

Under section 207 of the TIA Act, telcos are responsible for the capital and ongoing costs of providing an interception capability.

In 2020–21, telcos reported the cost of providing interception capability as approximately \$19 million, a decrease of 21.1% from 2019–20 (see Figure 1).

**Figure 1: Cost of providing interception capabilities (\$ million), 2016–17 to 2020–21**



Source: Telco industry data request.



# Data retention regime

Under Part 5-1A of the TIA Act, telcos are required to retain specific telecommunications data relating to the services they offer for at least 2 years. This is known as the data retention regime.

Access to data is central to almost all serious criminal and national security investigations.<sup>8</sup> Before the introduction of the data retention regime, changing business models and technology meant that many telcos were no longer retaining some types of data, or were not retaining it long enough to be useful to agencies in the course of their investigations. Inconsistent retention practices and lack of data hampered investigations and prevented perpetrators from being brought to justice. The data retention regime ensures agencies can lawfully access telecommunications data, subject to strict controls.

Section 187AA of the TIA Act outlines the information telcos must retain, including:

- > the subscriber of, and accounts, services, telecommunications devices and other relevant services relating to the relevant service
- > the source and destination of communications
- > the date, time and duration of a communication, or of its connection to a relevant service
- > the type of a communication or of a relevant service used in connection with a communication
- > the location of equipment or a line used in connection with a communication.

Compliance with the data retention regime is a carrier licence condition and service provider rule under the Telecommunications Act. We are not aware of any failures to comply with the data retention regime and did not undertake any data retention regime compliance investigations in 2020–21.

Telcos can apply to the Communications Access Co-ordinator (through the Department of Home Affairs) for an exemption or variation to the data retention regime obligations.

Telcos can apply to the ACMA in writing to seek a review of a decision made by the Department of Home Affairs in relation to a data retention regime exemption or variation.

We did not receive any requests to review an exemption or variation decision in 2020–21.

---

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.homeaffairs.gov.au/about-us/our-portfolios/national-security/lawful-access-telecommunications/data-retention-obligations>, viewed 29 October 2021.

## Cost of complying with data retention regime obligations

Table 2 sets out telcos' costs (administrative and substantive<sup>9</sup>) of complying with the data retention regime obligations. It also sets out the costs telcos recovered from criminal law enforcement agencies for responding to requests for data. The recovered costs partially offset the administrative costs reported.

**Table 2: Reported cost of complying with the data retention regime obligations and costs recovered from criminal law enforcement agencies**

Financial year	Data retention regime compliance cost	Costs recovered from criminal law enforcement agencies
2016–17	\$119,793,739.83*	\$9,829,783.17
2017–18	\$35,355,577.00	\$12,515,681.00
2018–19	\$17,453,069.00	\$7,443,035.00
2019–20	\$21,246,398.52	\$11,165,966.50
2020–21	\$25,262,114.03	\$13,385,407.50

*\*The TIA Act allowed approved telcos to implement compliance with data retention regime obligations over a 2-year period, leading to high costs being incurred during the 2016–17 reporting period.*

*Note: The data represents the administrative and substantive compliance costs reported to us by telco industry participants. Industry participants were permitted to report on behalf of subsidiary organisations.*

*Source: Telco industry data request.*

Telco costs for 2020–21 increased by 18.9% from the previous year, while costs recovered from criminal law enforcement agencies increased by 20%. During the reporting period, 10.7% of telcos recovered costs from criminal law enforcement agencies. Those telcos were responsible for over 75% of the 2020–21 compliance costs.

<sup>9</sup> Administrative costs are those incurred by regulated entities primarily to demonstrate compliance with the regulation (for example, making, keeping, and providing records). Substantive compliance costs are those incurred to deliver the regulated outcomes being sought (for example, plant, equipment and employee training).

# ACMA activities

Subsection 312(1) of the Telecommunications Act states that in performing its telecommunications functions or exercising its telecommunications powers, the ACMA must do its best to prevent telecommunications networks and facilities being used in, or in relation to, the commission of offences against the laws of the Commonwealth and of the states and territories. The ACMA activities in 2020–21 listed below are consistent with this obligation.

## Disrupting online services

Subsection 313(3) enables Commonwealth, state and territory government agencies to request telcos that are internet service providers to provide assistance to disrupt access to illegal online services by blocking access to websites in connection with any of the purposes set out in paragraphs 313(3)(c)–(e)<sup>10</sup> of the Telecommunications Act.

In making requests, Australian Government agencies<sup>11</sup> are expected to follow the whole-of-government guidelines released in June 2017 – *Guidelines for the use of section 313(3) of the Telecommunications Act by government agencies for the lawful disruption of access to online services*.<sup>12</sup>

Subsection 313(3) provides agencies with an effective tool to prevent and disrupt online activity that may cause serious harm to the community.

In 2020–21, the ACMA was the only Australian government agency that reported using subsection 313(3) of the Telecommunications Act to disrupt online services. The ACMA made 11 requests to telcos, which resulted in 206 websites for illegal online gambling being blocked.

Our work to protect Australians from the harms of illegal online gambling has resulted in 272 illegal gambling websites being blocked since we made our first request in November 2019.

## Combating phone scams

The ACMA has undertaken a range of scam reduction actions under its combating scams action plan<sup>13</sup> released in November 2019 and under the auspices of its ongoing Scam Telco Action Taskforce, consisting of key representatives from industry, government and the private sector.

On 2 December 2020, the ACMA registered the Reducing Scam Calls code. The code was developed by the telco industry in direct response to the ACMA's combating scams action plan. It places obligations on telcos to identify, trace and block scam calls.

The ACMA is providing de-identified data from complaints to telcos to aide in the identification of scam calls.

---

<sup>10</sup> This includes enforcing the criminal law, protecting the public revenue and safeguarding national security.

<sup>11</sup> State and territory government agencies are encouraged to follow the guidelines.

<sup>12</sup> Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications (DITRDC), [Guidelines for the use of section 313\(3\) of the Telecommunications Act 1997 by government agencies for the lawful disruption of access to online services](#), DITRDC website, 2017, viewed 29 October 2021.

<sup>13</sup> [Combating scams action plan](#), ACMA, viewed 29 October 2021.

Over 214 million scam calls were blocked by telcos in the first seven months of the code being in force (2 December 2020 to 30 June 2021). The number of calls blocked in each quarter has risen as industry enhances its capability.

On 27 February 2020, the ACMA made new rules to help prevent mobile number fraud and identity theft. The Telecommunications (Mobile Number Pre-Porting Additional Identity Verification) Industry Standard 2020<sup>14</sup> requires all telcos to use enhanced measures like multi-factor identity verification prior to mobile numbers being ported.

Reports about unauthorised porting have dramatically dropped since the new rules came into effect. Across 2020, key telcos reported a 90% to 100% reduction in unauthorised porting. The Australian Competition and Consumer Commission's Targeting Scams report<sup>15</sup> stated losses from mobile porting fraud had decreased by just over 50% in 2020 (noting that the rules commenced on 30 April 2020).

---

<sup>14</sup> [Telecommunications \(Mobile Number Pre-porting Additional Identity Verification\) Industry Standard 2020](#), Federal register of Legislation, viewed 29 October 2021.

<sup>15</sup> [Targeting scams: report of the ACCC on scam activity 2020](#), ACCC, viewed 29 October 2021.