

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Stephen Jones (NSW)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 23 May 2012 3:40 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]  
**Attachments:** Letter from AVAAZ of 160512.pdf

To: Chris Chapman  
Chair, Australian Communications and Media Authority

From: 6,178 Avaaz Members

16 May 2012

Dear Mr Chapman,

Avaaz, a global campaign organization with over 230,000 members in Australia, submits to the Australian Communications and Media Authority ("ACMA") our concern that Lachlan Murdoch may currently be in breach of the *Broadcasting Services Act* 1992 ("the Act") and formally requests that the ACMA commence an investigation. Specifically, we ask that the ACMA render an opinion on whether Lachlan Murdoch is "in a position to exercise control" of News Limited, giving rise to an "unacceptable three way control situation" in breach of the Act.<sup>1</sup>

Protection of media diversity is a core objective of the Act and of crucial importance in a democratic society. We set out below the reason why an investigation into Lachlan Murdoch's control over News Limited is necessary under the Act and to preserve Australia's democracy.

## **Avaaz**

Avaaz is a global campaigning community with over 14 million members in every country around the world, including over 230,000 members in Australia.<sup>2</sup> Its mission is to close the gap between the world we have and the world most people want.

In Australia, Avaaz members have been significantly involved in the ongoing deliberations on media reform. In total, members have submitted more than 100,000 comments to the Independent Media Inquiry, Convergence Review, Communications Minister Stephen Conroy and Prime Minister Julia Gillard outlining concerns about the high level of concentration of media ownership in Australia and the lack of independent regulation for the print media. Opinion polling demonstrates that these views are widely shared by the broader Australian population.<sup>3</sup>

## **Media ownership in Australia**

Diversity of media ownership is an important principle in any democracy, and one of the core objectives of the Act, which seeks "to encourage diversity in control of the more influential broadcasting services"<sup>4</sup> including through cross-media ownership rules.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Broadcasting Services Act* 1992 (Cth).

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.avaaz.org/en/community.php>

<sup>3</sup> Essential Media Communications (EMC) polling, 14 September 2011, commissioned by NewsStand, available online at <http://ge.tt/8duM0NF/v/0?c>

<sup>4</sup> *Broadcasting Services Act* 1992 s3 (1) (c).

The Interim Report recently released by the Convergence Review further recognised that “Maintaining a number of distinct voices both at a local and national level is important”<sup>5</sup> and recognised media diversity as a well-established safeguard to “ensure citizens’ access to a variety of information sources, opinion, voices etc. in order to form their opinion without the undue influence of one dominant opinion forming power”.<sup>6</sup> Its discussion paper on media diversity explained that:

*Australia has a long history of rules designed to protect and promote media diversity. In a democratic society, it is considered important to have diversity in programs such as news and current affairs, which influence people’s opinions. This has been one of the driving factors behind ownership and control rules in Australia.*<sup>7</sup>

As ACMA acknowledges, a determination of who is in “a position to exercise control” of a broadcaster or newspaper under the Act, and thus subject to its controls, can be complex.<sup>8</sup> However, such a determination is at the heart of the protection of media diversity under the Act.

### **The unacceptable 3-way control situation**

The Act provides that an “unacceptable 3-way control situation” exists where a person is in a position to control a commercial radio licence, a commercial TV licence, and a newspaper all in the same licence area.<sup>9</sup> Lachlan Murdoch is recognised by ACMA to be in a position to control Network TEN (Sydney) Pty Ltd, a commercial TV group, as well as commercial radio groups DMG (UK Radio 1) Pty Ltd and Nova 96.9 Pty Ltd.<sup>10</sup> All these groups operate in the Sydney licence area. If Lachlan Murdoch were considered to be in a position to control News Limited, it would then appear that an unacceptable three way control situation would exist since News Limited is the publisher of newspaper *The Daily Telegraph*, listed on the Associated Newspaper Register in connection with the Sydney licence area.<sup>11</sup>

### **Lachlan Murdoch and News Limited**

The Act recognises that where a newspaper is published by a company, a person will be in a position to exercise control where:

*(i) the person is in a position, either alone or together with an associate of the person, to*

---

<sup>5</sup> Convergence Review, Interim Report, 2011, page 9.

<sup>6</sup> Convergence Review, Media Diversity, Competition and Market Structure: Discussion Paper, 2011, page 11.

<sup>7</sup> Convergence Review, Media Diversity, Competition and Market Structure: Discussion Paper, 2011, page 11.

<sup>8</sup> See [http://www.acma.gov.au/webwr/\\_assets/main/lib100048/acma\\_b2-b3\\_guidance\\_notes.pdf](http://www.acma.gov.au/webwr/_assets/main/lib100048/acma_b2-b3_guidance_notes.pdf)

<sup>9</sup> *Broadcasting Services Act 1992*, s61AEA

<sup>10</sup> <http://web.acma.gov.au/rcmg/mcdIndex.htm>

<sup>11</sup> [http://www.acma.gov.au/webwr/\\_assets/main/lib100450/2010\\_07\\_29%20-%20anr.pdf](http://www.acma.gov.au/webwr/_assets/main/lib100450/2010_07_29%20-%20anr.pdf)

*exercise control of the company; or ...*

*(iv) the person, either alone or together with an associate of the person, is in a position to exercise, in any other manner, whether directly or indirectly, direction or restraint over any substantial issue affecting the management or affairs of the company; or*

*(v) the company or more than 50% of its directors:*

*(A) act, or are accustomed to act; ...*

*in accordance with the directions, instructions or wishes of, or in concert with, the person or of the person and an associate of the person acting together..<sup>12</sup>*

It also recognises that where a person has company interests exceeding 15 percent, there is a presumption that they are in a position to control the company.

Several factors warrant the ACMA consideration of Lachlan Murdoch as a person in a position to control News Limited.

### ***Directorship***

News Limited is a wholly owned subsidiary of News Corporation, of which Lachlan Murdoch is a non-executive director. The News Corp by-laws provide that the Board of Directors “shall establish broad corporate policies for the Corporation and its controlled entities, set the strategic direction for the Corporation and its controlled entities, oversee management” of the company and exercise a non-exclusive range of other power.<sup>13</sup>

As a director, Lachlan Murdoch shares these powers and duties with the other 15 directors of the company. While it does not appear likely that a non-executive directorship alone would amount to control within the meaning of the Act, this would be a question to be decided on the facts of each case. Combined with other factors, his directorship provides Lachlan Murdoch with powers and a platform with which to play a substantial role in the management and affairs of News Corporation and News Limited.

### ***Management involvement***

Lachlan Murdoch has served in a variety of executive positions with both News Corporation and News Limited. During the early 1990s, Lachlan Murdoch was publisher of the *Australian* and between 1995 and 1996 was Deputy CEO of News Limited, before taking up roles in the USA with News Corporation.

Recent claims reported in the *Australian* newspaper suggest that Murdoch’s involvement and influence at the company does not end with his executive appointments. Long-time News Limited employee Mark Day reported in his February, 6 2012 column that “It is said Lachlan

---

<sup>12</sup> *Broadcasting Services Act 1992* Schedule 1, s3.

<sup>13</sup> <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1308161/000119312511099601/dex31.htm>

was the architect of [new News Limited CEO Kim] Williams's appointment.”<sup>14</sup>

If accurate, this would suggest that Lachlan Murdoch is in a position to “exercise, in any other manner, whether directly or indirectly, direction or restraint over any substantial issue affecting the management or affairs of the company,” and thus be a person in a position to control News Limited within the meaning of the Act.<sup>15</sup>

The situation bears a striking resemblance to an investigation by the Australian Broadcasting Authority in 1995. In that case, the ABA gave notice under section 177 of the Act requiring John Fairfax Holdings Ltd to disclose any documents relating to any attempt to influence any substantial issue affecting the management or affairs of Fairfax by Kerry Packer.<sup>16</sup> The action was based in part on the publication of a report in the *Australian Financial Review* containing an allegation by the Managing Director and Chief Executive of Fairfax that the managing director of Kerry Packer's company, CPH, had sought to have him removed from his positions at Fairfax.

On the facts, the ABA found that the attempt had been unsuccessful and that it could not infer control based upon a failed attempt to influence the operations of a company. However, the allegations leading to the ABA's decision to exercise its investigative powers suggest precedent warranting an investigation into claims raised by Mark Day regarding Lachlan Murdoch's role in Williams's appointment as CEO of News Limited.

### ***Position as an associate***

Lachlan Murdoch's strong associations with his father Rupert Murdoch, Chairman and CEO of News Corporation and Chairman of News Limited, suggests that the two may be in a position to exercise control together as 'associates' within the meaning of the Act. Rupert Murdoch is already recognised by the ACMA as a person in a position to control News Limited.

The Act states that an associate includes a “spouse or a parent, child, brother or sister”, unless “ACMA is satisfied that they do not act together in any relevant dealings relating to that company, licence or newspaper, and neither of them is in a position to exert influence over the business dealings of the other”.<sup>17</sup>

Evidence suggests that Rupert Murdoch and Lachlan Murdoch do in fact act together and cooperate in dealings with News Corporation and News Limited. In his *Australian* article, columnist Mark Day reported that: “It is today widely understood that Rupert Murdoch would like his son to return to the business. When Williams was appointed chief executive, Rupert took the

---

<sup>14</sup> Mark Day, 'Lachlan in the wings as Williams subscribes to overhaul at News', *The Australian*, 6 February 2012, available online at <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/opinion/lachlan-in-the-wings-as-williams-subscribes-to-overhaul-at-news/story-e6frg9tf-1226263177233>

<sup>15</sup> *Broadcasting Services Act* 1992, Schedule One, Part Two (3) (c) (iv)

<sup>16</sup> Available at [http://www.acma.gov.au/webwr/aba/newspubs/radio\\_tv/investigations/documents/ownership\\_control/pacfax.pdf](http://www.acma.gov.au/webwr/aba/newspubs/radio_tv/investigations/documents/ownership_control/pacfax.pdf)

<sup>17</sup> *Broadcasting Services Act* 1992, s6.

chairmanship of News Limited, leading to speculation he was about to take a greater interest in Australian affairs. I do not believe this is so. He is merely keeping the seat warm for Lachlan.”<sup>18</sup>

Following the revelation by the *Guardian* newspaper in the UK that the Murdoch family’s *News of the World* had hacked the phone of murdered schoolgirl Milly Dowler, Lachlan and Rupert Murdoch cooperated closely in company matters. According to Sarah Ellison in *Vanity Fair* magazine, “Rupert and Lachlan huddled together in Sun Valley for most of the week following the Milly Dowler revelations. They avoided the press and almost everyone else.” Also according to the article, following Rupert Murdoch’s trip to London, “the adult children—James, Elisabeth, and Prudence, with Lachlan on conference call from Sydney—gathered with their father. They discussed Rebekah Brooks and Les Hinton, the current and former News International C.E.O.’s, and whether either should resign”.<sup>19</sup>

Lachlan Murdoch’s active role in the high-level management of News Corporation with his father was also demonstrated by his February 2012 trip to London with his father. Faced with the growing scandal in the UK, Rupert and Lachlan visited London and the *Sun* newsroom to reassure staff of their support for the paper, and launch the new Sunday edition of the paper. Commenting on Lachlan Murdoch’s presence with his father, John Burns wrote in the *New York Times* that “plans to bring Rupert Murdoch’s eldest son, Lachlan, who is 40, back into the operation have stepped up”.<sup>20</sup>

An investigation by ACMA into these accounts should seek to ascertain the veracity of these reports and their bearing on the association between Rupert and Lachlan Murdoch in the management and affairs of News Corporation and News Limited.

### ***Company interests***

The influence of Lachlan and Rupert Murdoch over the affairs of News Corp is further established through their association and combined interest in the Company within the Murdoch family trust. News Corp employs a dual-share structure widely recognised as designed to retain Murdoch family control over the company, a scheme that has attracted significant criticism from corporate governance experts. The result of this system is that while the Murdoch family owns an equity stake of approximately 12 percent in the company, their disproportionate ownership of the voting shares means they control almost 40 percent of the voting rights. The vast majority of these shares are held by the Murdoch family trust, of which Rupert Murdoch is a beneficial owner and Lachlan Murdoch is a beneficiary, with 38.4% of the voting shares in News Corp.

---

<sup>18</sup> Mark Day, ‘Lachlan in the wings as Williams subscribes to overhaul at News’, *The Australian*, 6 February 2012, available online at <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/opinion/lachlan-in-the-wings-as-williams-subscribes-to-overhaul-at-news/story-e6frg9tf-1226263177233>

<sup>19</sup> Sarah Ellison, ‘The Rules of Succession’, *Vanity Fair*, December 2011, available online at <http://www.vanityfair.com/society/features/2011/12/murdoch-kids-201112>

<sup>20</sup> John F. Burns, ‘Murdoch visits downcast tabloid, with other son in tow’, *New York Times*, 17 February 2012, available online at <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/18/world/europe/rupert-murdoch-offers-reassurances-to-sun-newsroom.html?pagewanted=all>





857 Broadway, 3rd Floor  
New York, NY 10003  
p +1 917 388 3988  
f +1 212 202 5428

The Act states that a person with company interests exceeding 15 percent is regarded as being in a position to control the company. Company interests are defined in the Act as including voting interests, and that where a person has two or more interests, their interest is defined as the interest that is of the greatest percentage.<sup>21</sup>

The Act also holds that a person may also be in a position to exercise control even when they hold less than a 15 percent interest in a company. The example provided is where a person holds a 10 percent interest in a situation where no other person holds more than 2 percent. After the Murdoch family voting interests of 38.4%, the next most substantial holding of voting shares is that of Saudi Prince Al-Waleed bin Talal with 7%. There are no other substantial shareholdings of voting shares, and the lack of coordination between these smaller shareholders reinforces the degree of Murdoch control over News Corporation.

### **History of non-compliance**

A history of non-compliance with the Act by Lachlan Murdoch strengthens the case for the ACMA to investigate this case. In 2011, the ACMA found Lachlan Murdoch had been in breach of the Act's restrictions on limits on control and directorships of broadcasting licences in the Brisbane RA1/Nambour RA1 combined licence area between 7 October 2010 and 9 November 2010.<sup>22</sup>

### **ACMA investigation needed**

Given the issues outlined above, Avaaz formally requests that the ACMA initiate an investigation toward issuing an opinion on whether Lachlan Murdoch is in a position to control News Limited, either alone or in association with Rupert Murdoch.

---

<sup>21</sup> *Broadcasting Services Act* 1992, s6 and Schedule 1.

<sup>22</sup> Available at [http://www.acma.gov.au/webwr/\\_assets/main/lib312030/mr4-2011\\_statement\\_of\\_findings-lachlan\\_murdoch.doc](http://www.acma.gov.au/webwr/_assets/main/lib312030/mr4-2011_statement_of_findings-lachlan_murdoch.doc)