

I wish only to make a few simple points as my submission.

1. Given that much online media spread virally (peer to peer, eg email) or is uploaded to international providers like youtube, facebook and myspace, could the OLFC justify the expense vs. the actual effect on a rational basis (e.g. w% of content type MA15+ blocked for y billion industry cost and the loss of z jobs).
2. As the federal government has already funded the delivery of 'net protect' services to Australian households, this measure seems redundant on the basis of your documented justification for it.
3. Given that most public access terminals (libraries and schools) are already filtered, justify this initiative (as per point 2)
4. Given that mobile carriers and large ISPs such as Bigpond are the only content aggregators in a position to implement this due to their customer care relationships with customers; can the OLFC justify why this RAS does not represent an impost on the growth of small and medium content providers and a defacto concentration of online media power (especially with reference to points 1-3) and is thus anticompetitive?

Jeremy Kelaher
Founder
Together Online
People, Together Online